

# MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Bureau of Internal Oversight

Audits and Inspections Unit



**Passenger Contact Inspection: April 2024**

Inspection Report # BI2024-0058

The Audits and Inspections Unit (AIU) of the Sheriff's Office Bureau of Internal Oversight (BIO) conducts passenger contact inspections on a monthly basis to ensure proper supervision, adherence with MCSO policies, compliance with the Melendres Order and established local and federal law. A total of 35 traffic stops are selected each month for review. To achieve inspection results the inspector will utilize the Vehicle Stop Contact Form, Citation/Warning, Incidental Contact Receipt, CAD printout, JWI Printout (if applicable) AIU-designed Matrix, Inspection Report Template Form, and Body-Worn Camera footage files.

Inspection BI2024-0058 examines traffic stops with passenger contact documented on the Vehicle Stop Contact Forms contained in the TraCS database for the time period of 4/1/2024 to 4/30/2024. To accomplish this task TraCS data was examined for those traffic stops with passenger contact documented on the Vehicle Stop Contact Forms for this period. These stops have been uniformly inspected utilizing a matrix developed by the Audits and Inspections Unit in accordance with the procedures outlined in policy and the AIU Operations Manual. In the inspection, a "reasonable person" standard will be utilized by inspectors.

**Compliance Objectives:**

- Did the deputy identify any passenger(s) by name, DOB, or ask investigative questions?
- Did the deputy complete a citation, warning or incidental contact form for each individual who was identified by name, DOB, or asked investigative questions?
- Did the deputy provide a copy of the citation, warning or incidental contact form to each individual who was identified by name, DOB, or asked investigative questions?
- If a passenger was contacted, did it cause the stop to be extended longer than necessary, if yes was the reason indicated on the VSCF?

**Criteria:**

- MCSO Policy EA-11, Arrest Procedures
- MCSO Policy EB-1, Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contacts, and Citation Issuance
- MCSO Policy EB-2, Traffic Stop Data Collection
- MCSO Policy GJ-3, Search and Seizure
- MCSO Policy GJ-35, Body-Worn Cameras


**Conditions:**

MCSO is to randomly select 35 traffic stops meeting the criteria for review. During the month of April 2024 there were 31 traffic stops with passenger contact documented on the Vehicle Stop Contact Forms, all of which were selected for this inspection in accordance with the methodology. Additionally, 10 of the traffic stops meeting the criteria are to be selected for Body-Worn Camera review. An additional traffic stop was selected for Body-Worn Camera review for clarification purposes, making a total of 11 traffic stops selected for BWC review.

**Results:**

Each traffic stop will be counted as one inspection and all applicable matrix criteria inspection points will factor into an over-all compliance score. Each traffic stop utilized four matrix criteria inspection points for a total of 124 potential matrix criteria inspection points. From the total of 124 potential inspection points those determined to be Not Applicable (N/A) or Not Verifiable will be removed from the overall compliance percentage. For example, this month there were 3 inspection points determined to be N/A and 4 inspection points that were not verifiable, resulting in 117 total inspection points.

The compliance rates of the provided traffic stop sample, utilizing the four matrix criteria inspection points, resulted in an overall average compliance rate of **99.15%** for April 2024, as illustrated in the graph below.



Results of the review of traffic stops with passenger contact documented on the Vehicle Stop Contact Form:

Inspection Elements	In Compliance	Not In Compliance	Not Verifiable	N/A	Total Inspected	Compliance Rate
Did the deputy identify any passenger(s) by name, DOB, or ask investigative questions?	28	0	0	3	28	100.00%
Did the deputy complete a citation, warning or incidental contact form for each individual who was identified by name, DOB, or asked investigative questions?	31	0	0	0	31	100.00%
Did the deputy provide a copy of the citation, warning or incidental contact form to each individual who was identified by name, DOB, or ask investigative questions?	26	1	4	0	27	96.30%
If a passenger was contacted, did it cause the stop to be extended longer than necessary, if yes was the reason indicated on the VSCF?	31	0	0	0	31	100.00%
<b>Overall Compliance with inspection requirements</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>99.15%</b>

**Conclusion:**

Of the **124** potential matrix criteria inspection points, **117** of which were used to determine the compliance calculation, there was one compliance deficiency resulting in a **99.15%** compliance rate for **April 2024**.

**Action Required:**

With the resulting **99.15%** compliance rate for Inspection **BI2024-0058**, **one** BIO Action Form is required.

AIU will provide the inspection report to the affected Division to address the following stop and deficiency via the Bio Action Form Process:

**Lake Patrol (1 BIO Action Form):**

**Compliance Deficiency**

District/Division	Incident Number	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
Lake Patrol	Redacted	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>				
1. The passenger was not provided with an incidental contact form at the time of the stop and no explanation was documented on the VSCF for that action. (Policy EB-1.15.B) Note: The passenger was not identified by name or DOB but was asked investigative questions.				

Date Inspection Started: 5/6/2024  
Date Completed: 5/22/2024  
Timeframe Inspected: 4/1/2024-4/30/2024  
Assigned Inspector(s): Auditor Dave Paul B5459

I have reviewed this inspection report.

*Lt. Brian Arthur S1806*

6/6/2024

Lieutenant T. Brian Arthur, S1806  
Commander  
Audits and Inspections Unit

Date